

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RUSTY LUGO, No. 2:09-cv-00505-MCE-CMK-P
Plaintiff,
vs. ORDER
WILLIAMS, et al.,
Defendants.

_____/

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

On November 23, 2010, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within a specified time. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.¹

¹ Although it appears from the file that the findings and recommendations were returned, the parties were properly served. It is the responsibility of the parties to keep the court apprised of their address of record at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(d), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:


4 1. The findings and recommendations filed November 23, 2010, are adopted
5 in full;

6 2. Defendants' unopposed motion to dismiss, or in the alternative for
7 summary judgment, (Doc. 24) is granted;

8 3. Summary judgment is entered in favor of defendants and against plaintiff;
9 and

10 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

11 Dated: January 31, 2011

12 
13 _____
14 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26