


FILED

NOV - 5 2010

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY  DEPUTY CLERK

[E-FILING MANDATORY]

1 Kevin R. Mintz, Esq. (Bar No. 172299)
2 Geoffrey A. Beaty, Esq. (Bar No. 84997)
3 RANKIN, SPROAT, MIRES, BEATY & REYNOLDS
4 A Professional Corporation
5 1970 Broadway, Suite 1150
6 Oakland, CA 94612
7 Tel: (510) 465-3922 – Fax: (510) 452-3006

8 Attorneys for Defendants,
9 BURROWS SECURITY FORCE and
10 RYAN ARBUCKLE (erroneously sued as “RYAN BURROWS”)

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 BRENT WINTERS, et al.,
14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 DELORES JORDAN, et al.,
17 Defendants.

Case Number: 2:09-cv-00522-JAM-KJN
Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez

JAM
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT FOR
DEFENDANTS’ BURROWS SECURITY
FORCES AND RYAN ARBUCKLE
[F.R.C.P. 58(d); F.R.C.P. 54(b)]

Judge: Hon. Kendall J. Newman
Third Amended Complaint Filed:
September 21, 2009


(PS) Winters, et al v. Jordan, et al

Doc. 201

18 By reason of the Order of September 14, 2010, dismissing with prejudice all
19 claims alleged against Burrows Security Force and Ryan Arbuckle, these defendants are entitled
20 to Judgment in their favor, on the Third Amended Complaint filed by plaintiffs.

21 There being no just reason for delay, IT IS ADJUDGED, ORDERED AND
22 DECREED that judgment be and hereby is entered in favor of Burrows Security Force and Ryan
23 Arbuckle against each and all plaintiffs.

24 Dated: 11-5-2010

25 
26 Honorable John A. Mendez
27 U.S. District Court-Eastern District
28 of California

Defendants’ Burrows and Arbuckle’s
[Proposed] Judgment

Case No. 2:09-CV-00522-JAM-KJN