

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRENT WINTERS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 2:09-cv-00522 JAM KJN PS

v.

DELORES JORDAN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

On June 20, 2011, plaintiffs filed a document called “The Winters’ Objections to the Magistrate’s Findings and Recommendations Regarding Defendant Logsdon’s Attorney’s Fees.” (Objections, Dkt. No. 294.) Plaintiffs objections concern an order entered by the undersigned, which, in part, granted defendant Valerie Logsdon’s motion for attorney’s fees and awarded Logsdon \$12,925.00 in attorney’s fees and \$368.70 in costs. (Order, Apr. 21, 2011, Dkt. No. 284.)

As an initial matter, plaintiffs’ objections are procedurally defective. The undersigned granted Logsdon’s motion for attorney’s fees by order, and did not recommend the award through proposed findings and recommendations. Accordingly, the order was effective immediately, and no findings and recommendations were entered to which plaintiffs could object. To the extent that plaintiffs intended to apply for reconsideration of the April 21, 2011

