1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CHARLES L. WILLIAMS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-0540 JAM EFB P
12	vs.
13	L. ORTIZ, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	
20	On August 12, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	On August 12, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
20 21	
	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
21	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed
21 22	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
21 22 23	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
21 22 23 24	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ul>	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 12, 2010, are adopted in full;
2	and
3	2. Plaintiff's claims against defendant Anthony J. Malfi are dismissed.
4	/DATED: November 9, 2010
5	/s/ John A. Mendez
6	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2