Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CHARLES L. WILLIAMS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-0540 JAM EFB P
12	VS.
13	L. ORTIZ, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On September 2, 2011, defendants Stinson, Lebeck and Ortiz filed a motion for
18	summary judgment. Dckt. No. 20; see Fed. R. Civ. P. 56. On September 20, 2010, the court
19	advised plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal
20	Rules of Civil Procedure and informed plaintiff that failure to file an opposition to such a motion
21	could be considered waiver of any such opposition. Dckt. Nos. 11; see Rand v. Rowland, 154
22	F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), cert. denied, 527 U.S. 1035 (1999); Klingele v.
23	Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988). Plaintiff failed to file an opposition or
24	statement of no opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, on October 27,
25	2011, the court reminded plaintiff of his obligation to file an opposition, granted him twenty-one
26	days in which to file it and warned him that failure to comply would result in a recommendation

1

of dismissal. To date, no opposition has been filed nor has plaintiff otherwise communicated
 with the court. Plaintiff has been warned that he must file a response to defendants' motion.
 Plaintiff has disobeyed this court's orders and failed to prosecute this action. The appropriate
 sanction is dismissal without prejudice.

5 Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.
6 See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
Dated: January 12, 2012.

- Lik m

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE