(PC) Seefeld	dt v. Aramark Inc. II	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	BRIAN SEEFELDT,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CIV S-09-0554 FCD GGH P
12	vs.	
13	ARAMARK, INC.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	/	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On June 1, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 19

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 1, 2009, are adopted in full;
- 2. This action is stayed for six (6) months. Failure of plaintiff to update the court within that time will result in dismissal of this case.

DATED: July 31, 2009.

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE