1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	K. JAMEL WALKER,	No. 2:09-cv-0569 WBS KJN PS
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
14	CORRECTIONS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On November 12, 2015, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate	
18	judge's order, filed October 30, 2015, denying plaintiff's motion for additional discovery.	
19	Pursuant to Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly	
20	erroneous or contrary to law." (Id.) Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not	
21	appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. Upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed October 30, 2015 is	
24	affirmed.	
25	2. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 65) is denied.	
26	Dated: December 3, 2015	
27	/walk0569.850	Fordall J. Newman
28		KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE