1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	00000
11	00000
12	FRANCIS VON KOENIG, GUY CADWELL, individually and on
13	behalf of all others similarly situated,
14	2:09-cv-00606 FCD EFB Plaintiffs,
15	v. ORDER
16	
17	SNAPPLE BEVERAGE CORPORATION,
18	Defendant.
19	
20	00000
21	On August 2, 2010, the court issued a minute order directing
22	the parties to submit simultaneous briefing regarding why this
23	case should not be stayed in light of the courts' rulings in
24	Coyle v. Hornell Brewing Co., No. 1:08-cv-2797 (D.N.J.) and Ries
25	v. Hornell Brewing Co., 10-cv-1139 (N.D. Cal.). On September 2,
26	2010, the parties submitted a Joint Statement of Parties as to
27	Issuance of a Stay, providing that neither party objects to a
28	stay of this matter consistent with those ordered in the

1 referenced cases. As such, and consistent with the Northern
2 District of California's reasoning in <u>Ries</u>, the court STAYS the
3 action for six months and directs counsel to submit a proposal
4 referring to the FDA the question of whether high fructose corn
5 syrup is a "natural" ingredient.

6 The parties shall submit a joint status report by 5:00 p.m. 7 on March 1, 2011. Though the court may extend the stay upon a 8 showing of good cause, the parties should be prepared to proceed 9 with respect to plaintiffs' claims if the FDA does not indicate 10 clearly its willingness to act in the reasonably foreseeable 11 future.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: September 7, 2010

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE