1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MANUEL BLANCO, 10 11 Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-0629 FCD KJN P 12 VS. 13 SACRAMENTO SHERIFF, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed July 14, 2010, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed and 16 17 thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On August 5, 2010, plaintiff was 18 granted an additional thirty days in which to file a second amended complaint. Thirty days from 19 that date have now expired, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. 20 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 22 without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 23 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 24 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-25 one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's 26

Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: September 14, 2010 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE blan0629.fta2