IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARCHIE A. MARTINEZ,

No. 2:09-cv-0680-KJM-CMK-P

Plaintiff,

VS.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

G. HOOVER, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 5, 2016, the court directed plaintiff to file a status report. When plaintiff failed to do so within the time provided, findings and recommendations were issued on September 15, 2016, recommending dismissal of this action. The day the findings and recommendations were issued, the court received a request for additional time which was granted. Plaintiff then had until November 10, 2016, to file his status report. As of the date of this order, the court has not received plaintiff's status report. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to file his status report within the time provided may result in the imposition of sanctions, including dismissal of this action or preclusion of issues or witnesses as authorized by Local Rule 110.

1 dismissal. See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v. 3 U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's 4 interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3) 5 the risk of prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an appropriate sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor. 8 9 See Malone, 833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is 10 appropriate where there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 11 1423 (9th Cir. 1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to

1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992).

Having considered these factors, and in light of plaintiff's failure to file a status report as ordered, even after the extension of time in which to do so, the undersigned finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

comply with an order to file an amended complaint. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258,

The court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of

Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

23 ///

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: November 22, 2016

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE