| (HC) Vang v | . Walker  |
|-------------|---|
|             |   |
|             |   |
|             |   |
| 1           |   |
| 1           |   |
| 2           |   |
| 3           |   |
| 4           |   |
| 5           |   |
| 6           |   |
| 7           | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 8           | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 9           | COMDY VANG,   |
| 10          | Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-0704 GGH P   |
| 11          | VS.   |
| 12          | JAMES WALKER,   |
| 13          | Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>  |
| 14          |   |
| 15          | Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no                    |
| 16          | absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d    |
| 17          | 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at     |
| 18          | any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing  |
| 19          | § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be |
| 20          | served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.   |
| 21          | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's March 16, 2009,                               |
| 22          | request for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a    |
| 23          | later stage of the proceedings.   |
| 24          | DATED: April 14, 2009   |
| 25          | /s/ Gregory G. Hollows  |
| 26          | GREGORY G. HOLLOWS<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |
|             | GGH:md; vang0704.110  |

Doc. 11