(HC) Vang v	/. Walker 	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COMDY VANG,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-09-0704 FCD GGH P
12	VS.	
13	JAMES WALKER,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		_/
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. On November 1, 2010, this court	
17	denied his application for a writ of habeas corpus. Before petitioner can appeal this decision, a	
18	certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).	
19	A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the	
20	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.	
21	§ 2253(c)(2). The court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues	
22	satisfy the required showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue.	
23	Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).	
24		
25	/////	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 30

For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's August 24, 2010 findings and recommendations, petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, a certificate of appealability should not issue in this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 30, 2010.

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE