1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GREGORY V. BALDWIN,	No. 2:09-cv-00711-KJM-AC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	J. FANNON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	A jury trial was conducted in this case on October 6 through 9, 2014. See Minutes,	
19	ECF Nos. 155, 156, 158, 161. On October 9, 2014, the jury returned a unanimous verdict in favor	
20	of the defendants, Verdict, ECF No. 163, and a judgment was entered on October 15, 2014, ECF	
21	No. 166. On October 27, 2014, plaintiff Gregory Baldwin filed a notice of appeal. ECF No. 167.	
22	Mr. Baldwin appeals "the Judgment of the Jury denying [him] punitive damages and dismissing	
23	the action with prejudice." Id. at 1. On December 1, 2014, Mr. Baldwin also filed a request for	
24	transcripts at government expense. ECF No. 170. Although Mr. Baldwin was represented at	
25	trial, his notice of appeal and request for transcripts at government expense were filed without	
26	representation.	
27	A litigant proceeding in forma pauperis may move for the production of	
28	transcripts at government expense "if the trial judge or a circuit judge certifies that the appeal is	
		1

1	not frivolous (but presents a substantial question)." 28 U.S.C. § 753(f). See also 28 U.S.C.
2	§ 1915(c) (defining circumstances in which the court can direct the government to pay for
3	transcript requests). Such a request is granted only if "the appeal presents a substantial issue."
4	Henderson v. United States, 734 F.2d 483, 484 (9th Cir. 1984).
5	Here, the court can find no substantial issue or question in the appeal. Mr.
6	Baldwin's notice of appeal and request for transcripts also provide no justification or argument.
7	The request is DENIED. Any further relief must be sought from the United States Court of
8	Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	DATED: May 19, 2015.
11	INA MARIA
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2