1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JEFFERSON A. McGEE and PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED,
11	Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-09-0740 GEB EFB PS
12	VS.
13 14	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
15	Defendants. ORDER
16	On May 20, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
17	which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and
18	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.
19	Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orland v.
20	<u>United States</u> , 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1999). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
21	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
22	1983).
23	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
24	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
25	
26	
	1

(PS) McGee v. State of California

Doc. 17

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed May 20, 2010, are ADOPTED; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motions for a temporary restraining order, Dckt. Nos. 7 and 8, are denied.

Dated: August 6, 2010

CARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge