forth several unrelated claims against multiple defendants.

25

26

/////

Doc. 17

Plaintiff now "request[s] that the Court enter final judgement in this matter in order to permit jurisdiction by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals." Doc. 16, at 1. He asserts that the court's June 22, 2009 screening of his complaint was "erroneous and contrary to law," and the court's August 10, 2009 order on reconsideration "an abuse of discretion requiring appellate review because no District Judge is being allowed to review the Magistrate Judge's findings and order(s)." Id., at 1-2.

Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint and the time for doing so has passed. He was initially granted thirty days from the date of service of the court's June 22, 2009 order within which to file an amended complaint, but instead sought reconsideration. Plaintiff was again granted thirty days within which to file an amended complaint pursuant to the court's August 10, 2009 order on reconsideration, but instead pursued an appeal. It is clear that plaintiff seeks to rest on his original complaint. His failure to file an amended complaint, consistent with the orders of this court, requires that this action be dismissed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. *See* Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Clerk of Court shall enter judgment consistent with this order.

DATED: December 9, 2009 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE