Any party may inspect and copy them. But if the person who

produced them wants to keep the originals, the person may:

26

Doc. 59

Id.

22 23

(i) offer copies to be marked, attached to the deposition, and then used as originals--after giving all parties a fair opportunity to verify the copies by comparing them with the originals; or

(ii) give all parties a fair opportunity to inspect and copy the originals after they are marked--in which event the originals may be used as if attached to the deposition.

(B) Order Regarding the Originals. Any party may move for an order that the originals be attached to the deposition pending final disposition of the case.

Accordingly, defendants shall provide the court reporter with the documents and tangible things produced for inspection during plaintiff's deposition, and the court reporter shall attach them to the original deposition. If plaintiff has already reviewed the deposition transcript pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e), counsel for defendants shall provide an opportunity for plaintiff to review and copy the exhibits to the deposition transcript. If plaintiff has not yet reviewed the deposition transcript, he shall be provided access to photocopy the annexed exhibits at the time of the transcript review.

It appears plaintiff also seeks a subpoena duces tecum for inmate witnesses Robert Gallegos, #P-18916, and Leslie Jones, #K-27781, plaintiff does not make clear what documents these witnesses might have. Thus, the court will deny his request for subpoena duces tecum. However, by separate order, the court will require inmate witness Gallegos to complete and return an affidavit as to his willingness to testify on plaintiff's behalf. Inmate witness Jones filed his affidavit on June 28, 2010. In light of this order, plaintiff's July 2, 2010 motion for clarification is moot and will be denied.

Plaintiff also included declarations by witnesses Terrell Woodard and Kevin Green. These witnesses have already expressed their willingness to testify on plaintiff's behalf.

(Dkt. Nos. 53 & 54.)

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's June 18, 2010 motion is partially granted (Dkt. No. 51).
- 2. Defendants shall provide the court reporter who transcribed plaintiff's May 18, 2010 deposition with the documents and tangible things produced for inspection during plaintiff's deposition, and the court reporter shall attach them to the original deposition. If plaintiff has already reviewed the deposition transcript pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(e), counsel for defendants shall provide an opportunity for plaintiff to review and copy the exhibits to the deposition transcript. If plaintiff has not yet reviewed the deposition transcript, counsel for defendants shall ensure plaintiff is provided access to photocopy the annexed exhibits at the time of the transcript review.
- 3. Plaintiff's request for a subpoena duces tecum to issue as to inmate witnesses Gallegos and Jones is denied. By separate order, inmate witness Gallegos will be required to complete and return an affidavit as to his willingness to testify on plaintiff's behalf.
 - 4. Plaintiff's July 2, 2010 motion for clarification is denied.

DATED: July 7, 2010

carr0826.sdt

25

26

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE