1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112		ey General of California N.M. GEVERCER, State Bar No. 112790 rising Deputy Attorney General RINE WOODBRIDGE GUESS, State Bar No. Attorney General I Street, Suite 125 Box 944255 mento, CA 94244-2550 whone: (916) 445-8216 (916) 322-8288 il: Catherine.Woodbridge@doj.ca.gov eys for Defendants Steve Hardy, Lori Ajax and		
13 14	Carlos Perfino and Jess Zuranich,	2:09-CV-00833-GEB-KJM		
15	Plaintiffs,	REQUEST AND STIPULATION TO EXTEND DEADLINES AND ORDER		
16	v.	Action Filed: March 26, 2009		
17 18 19 20	State of California, Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control [A.B.C.], Ex Officio (sic) Steve Hardy, Director; Elizabeth Grazia; Lori Ajax, Juan G. Ayala, Heriberto Garcia, Defendants.			
21	I. Introduction			
22	Plaintiffs Carlos Perfino and Jess Zuranich bring this civil rights action arising out of the			
23	denial of application for liquor license by California Alcohol Beverage Control employees.			
24	Plaintiffs and Defendants Steve Hardy, Lori Ajax and Elizabeth Gavia hereby file this Request			
25	and Stipulation to Extend Deadlines because there is a pending motion to dismiss the amended			
26	complaint scheduled December 21, 2009, and the parties are unable to complete discovery by the			
27	discovery cut-off date of January 4, 2010, given the number of witnesses in this matter.			
28		1		

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND Plaintiffs filed a complaint on March 26, 2009, alleging the following claims: Claim One – a §1983 claim against Hardy, Ajax and Gavia for violation of substantive and procedural due process, equal protection and the Taking Clause of the Fifth Amendment; Claim Two – a state supplemental claim for interference with contractual relations and intentional inducement of breach of contract against Gavia and Ajax; Claim Three – a state supplemental claim against Hardy, Ajax and Gavia for inverse condemnation under the California Constitution;

Traintiffs fried a complaint on Waren 20, 2007, aneging the following claims.		
Claim One – a §1983 claim against the state, Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC) and its		
employees for violation of due process, equal protection and the Taking Clause of the Fifth		
Amendment;		
Claim Two – a state supplemental claim for interference with contractual relations and		
intentional inducement of breach of contract against the state, ABC employees Hardy, Gavia and		
Ajax;		
Claim Three – a state supplemental claim against the state, ABC and its employees for		
inverse condemnation under the California Constitution;		
Claim Four – injunctive and declaratory relief against the state, ABC and its employees		
based on state law.		
On April 21, 2009, Defendants moved to dismiss the original Complaint. The hearing on		
motion to dismiss was June 8, 2009.		
On June 23, 2009, the court issued a scheduling order with a discovery cut-off date of		
January 4, 2010; dispositive motion cut-off date of March 8, 2010 and trial date of August 30,		
2010.		
On October 6, 2009, the court granted and denied in part the State Defendants' motion to		
dismiss. Pursuant to the order on motion to dismiss, Plaintiffs were granted leave to amend.		
On October 15, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint alleging the following		
claims:		

1	Claim Four – injunctive and declaratory relief against Hardy, Ajax and Gavia based on state		
2	law;		
3	Claim Five – negligence against Hardy, Ajax and Gavia.		
4	Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The hearing is scheduled		
5	December 21, 2010.		
6	III. GOOD CAUSE EXHIBITS TO VACATE THE SCHEDULING DEADLINES		
7	Defendants ABC, Steve Hardy, Lori Ajax and Elizabeth Gavia served Interrogatories and		
8	Request for Production of Documents on plaintiffs on August 26, 2009. Plaintiffs requested and		
9	were granted extensions to respond to discovery. On November 6, 2009, plaintiffs served		
10	responses to Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents. Plaintiffs identify 23		
11	witnesses, in addition to the parties, who have knowledge of the facts giving rise to their claims		
12	and damages. In addition, plaintiffs identified 42 exhibits which support their claims and		
13	damages against defendants.		
14	In order to schedule and take the depositions of the witnesses in this action, the parties need		
15	additional time beyond the discovery cut-off date of January 4, 2010. In addition, the pending		
16	motion to dismiss may eliminate some or all causes of action against defendants.		
17	The parties have diligently worked to meet the deadlines outlined in the court's June 23,		
18	2009, scheduling order. Without additional time for discovery, the parties cannot adequately		
19	prepare for trial of this matter. Accordingly, good cause exists to vacate the scheduling deadlines.		
20	The parties hereto agree and stipulate to the following:		
21	1. The scheduling order may be modified as follows:		
22	2. Extend the discovery cut-off to March 30, 2010;		
23	3. Extend the date for dispositive motions to July 15, 2010;		
24	Dated: November 12, 2009 Franck & Associates		
25			
26	/s/ Herman Franck		
27	Herman Franck, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiffs		
28			
	3		

1	Dated: November 12, 2009 EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California	
2	STEVEN M. GEVERCER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3		
4	/s/ Catherine Woodbridge Guess	
5	CATHERINE WOODBRIDGE GUESS	
6	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants Steve Hardy, Lori	
7	Ajax and Elizabeth Gavia	
8		
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT	
10		
11	1. The June 23, 2009, scheduling order is modified as follows:	
12	2. The discovery cut-off is March 30, 2010;	
13	3. The last hearing date for law and motion is May 17, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.	
14	4. The pretrial conference is rescheduled for July 19, 2010, at 1:30 p.m. A joint pretrial	
15	statement is to be filed seven days prior to the conference.	
16	105001	
17	Dated: 11/23/09 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.	
18	United States District Judge	
19		
20	SA2009309406	
	30897961.doc	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	4	
	4	