(HC) Johnson	n v. Yates		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ARTHUR EUGENE JOHNSON,		
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-09-0850 JAM GGH P	
12	vs.		
13	JAMES A. YATES,		
14	Respondent.	ORDER	
15			
16	Pursuant to the order, filed on December 11, 2009, respondent filed supplemental		
17	briefing on December 22, 2009. Petitioner subsequently filed a notice regarding his apparent		
18	placement in administrative segregation which evidently has made accessing his legal property		
19	difficult. In the December 11, 2009, order, petitioner was permitted seven days from the filing of		
20	respondent's supplemental briefing to file a reply. The court will construe petitioner's notice as a		
21	request for an extension of time and will liberally grant petitioner 21 days from the date of this		
22	order to file any reply. The exigencies of the court's calendar precludes any further extension.		
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
24	1. Petitioner's December 28, 2009, notice, construed as a request for an extension		
25	of time (Docket No. 23) is granted; and		
26	\\\\\		

Doc. 24

1	2. Petitioner is granted 21 days from the date of this order in which to file a reply
2	to the supplemental briefing. There will be no further extension of time.
3	DATED: January 7, 2010
4	
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	GGH:009/md
8	john0850.111(3)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	