

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTHUR EUGENE JOHNSON,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-0850 JAM GGH P

vs.

JAMES A. YATES,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has timely filed a notice of appeal of this court's March 31, 2010, denial of his application for a writ of habeas corpus. Before petitioner can appeal this decision, a certificate of appealability must issue. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b).

A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 “only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The certificate of appealability must “indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy” the requirement. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(3).

A certificate of appealability should be granted for any issue that petitioner can demonstrate is “debatable among jurists of reason,” could be resolved differently by a different

////

1 court, or is “adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.” Jennings v. Woodford,
2 290 F.3d 1006, 1010 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983)).¹

3 Petitioner has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right in
4 the following issue presented in the instant petition: whether petitioner is entitled to equitable
5 tolling.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is
7 issued in the present action.

8 DATED: May 21, 2010

9 /s/ John A. Mendez
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

¹ Except for the requirement that appealable issues be specifically identified, the standard
26 for issuance of a certificate of appealability is the same as the standard that applied to issuance of
a certificate of probable cause. Jennings, at 1010.