

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HOWARD SCOTT,
Plaintiff,
v.
M. McDONALD, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:09-cv-0851-MCE-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants’ motions for summary judgment are pending but not yet submitted for decision. ECF Nos. 145, 164. The court stayed plaintiff’s obligation to respond to the motions pending appointment of counsel for plaintiff. ECF No. 150. The court subsequently appointed counsel, but only for the limited purpose of assisting plaintiff with settlement negotiations, currently scheduled for April 7, 2015. ECF Nos. 166-168. Thus, plaintiff’s oppositions to the motions are not yet due. Because the settlement negotiations may moot the summary judgment motions altogether, the court will deny them (ECF Nos. 145, 164) without prejudice to renewal within seven days of the April 7, 2015 settlement conference. If appropriate, the court will then issue a new schedule for briefing on the motions.

So Ordered.

DATED: March 17, 2015.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE