

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JACQUELYN ARCHAMBAULT,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0856 LKK KJM PS

12 vs.

13 ADESA GOLDEN GATE,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

14 Defendant.
15 _____/

16 This action is related to a previous action filed by plaintiff in state court and
17 removed to this court by the same defendant, Archambault v. Adesa Golden Gate, Civ.S. 08-
18 1616 LKK GGH PS. This court previously found that plaintiff had failed to state a federal claim
19 in that action, and recommended that the case be remanded to state court for consideration of the
20 state law claims. The district court adopted those findings and recommendations. Plaintiff then
21 filed a new action in state court based on an exact duplicate of the complaint filed in the previous
22 action. Defendant has once again removed that action to this court, knowing that there is no
23 federal jurisdiction.

24 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

25 1. Defendant show cause why it should not be sanctioned for removing this action
26 to federal court after this court previously found there was no federal jurisdiction on the same

1 complaint. Defendant shall file a response within twenty days of this order.

2 2. Defendant shall re-notice its motion to dismiss, currently scheduled before
3 Judge Mueller on May 13, 2009, on the undersigned's calendar.

4 DATED: April 9, 2009

5
6
7 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:076
Archambault0856.osc.wpd