

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 MITCHELL C. BLOCKER,

11 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-0883 KJM P

12 vs.

13 M. MARTELL,

14 Respondent.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has not, however, filed an in forma
18 pauperis affidavit or paid the required filing fee (\$5.00). See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914(a), 1915(a).
19 Petitioner will be provided the opportunity to either submit the appropriate affidavit in support of
20 a request to proceed in forma pauperis or submit the appropriate filing fee.

21 Petitioner has also filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. There currently
22 exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner,
23 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of
24 counsel at any stage of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” See Rule 8(c), Fed. R.
25 Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice
26 would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.

1 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Petitioner shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, an
3 affidavit in support of his request to proceed in forma pauperis or the appropriate filing fee;
4 petitioner's failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal of this action;

5 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner a copy of the in forma
6 pauperis form used by this district; and

7 3. Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Docket No. 2) is denied
8 without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings.

9 DATED: April 10, 2009.

10 
11 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 1/kly
13 bloc0883.101a+110
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26