

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHARLOTTE GLOVER,
Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0898-CMK

vs.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,
Defendant.

_____ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). On April 2, 2010, the court approved Plaintiff's stipulation extending the time for filing a motion for summary judgment to April 9, 2010. However, by May 5, 2010, no motion for summary judgment had been filed. The court then issued an order to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to file a dispositive motion.

Plaintiff responded to the order to show cause by filing a response with a request for additional time due to counsel's excusable neglect. Counsel indicated that opposing counsel has no objection to her late filed motion. In addition, the required dispositive motion has been filed.

1 Good cause appearing therefor, the order to show cause is discharged, and
2 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is deemed properly filed. Defendant's cross-motion for
3 summary judgment shall be filed pursuant to the time set forth in the court's scheduling order.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 DATED: May 28, 2010

7 
8 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26