1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DAVID HARRINGTON,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-0907 JAM EFB
12	VS.
13	CREDITORS SPECIALITY SERVICE, INC.,
14	Defendant. ORDER
15	/
16	
17	Plaintiff's motion for entry of default judgment came on regularly for hearing
18	before the assigned magistrate judge on June 24, 2009. The matter was referred to a United
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(19) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
20	On July 8, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. No objections were filed.
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25	ORDERED that:
26	////
	1

1	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed July 8, 2009, are adopted in full;
2	2. Plaintiff's motion for default judgment against defendant Creditors Specialty
3	Service, Inc. is GRANTED; and
4	3. Upon the plaintiff's filing of a voluntary dismissal of his supplemental state
5	law invasion of privacy claim, judgment is entered in the amount of \$5,439.00.
6	DATED: August 31, 2009
7	
8	/s/ John A. Mendez
9	U. S. District Court Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20	
	2
	2

I

I