

1 defendants to file a proposed protective order within seven (7) days. ECF No. 133. Upon
2 issuance of a protective order, the undersigned directed discovery responses and documentation
3 be provided within thirty days. Id. Defendants sought reconsideration of the ruling on plaintiff's
4 motion to compel. ECF No. 136. Upon reconsideration by the district judge, the March 18, 2014
5 order was affirmed. See ECF No. 137, filed on August 13, 2014.

6 Defendants filed their proposed protective order on August 20, 2014. ECF No. 138. Prior
7 to the August 28, 2014 filing of the court's protective order, ECF No. 141, plaintiff filed the
8 pending motion for a DSO. By order filed on September 30, 2014, the court granted defendants'
9 request for an extension of time to provide the responses as directed in the March 18, 2014 order,
10 setting the new deadline as October 29, 2014. ECF No. 143.

11 Therefore, discovery has already been re-opened with a new cut-off date of October 29,
12 2014. However, the current extended discovery deadline is for the limited purpose of completing
13 discovery pursuant to the March 18, 2014 order. The court has not authorized a new or additional
14 round of discovery requests by plaintiff or defendants. The pretrial dispositive motion deadline
15 remains set for January 3, 2014.

16 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for a new Scheduling and
17 Discovery Order (ECF No. 140) is denied as unnecessary.

18 DATED: October 7, 2014

19 
20 ALLISON CLAIRE
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28