

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID YOUNG,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-941 MCE KJM PS

vs.

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

By order filed November 12, 2010, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.¹

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

¹ Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
2 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: December 15, 2010.

6
7 
8 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

006
young.fta