appealability "should issue if the prisoner can show: (1) 'that jurists of reason would find it

26

Doc. 34

debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling'; and (2) 'that jurists of 1 2 reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a 3 constitutional right." Morris v. Woodford, 229 F.3d 775, 780 (9th Cir. 2000) (quoting Slack v. 4 McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000)). 5 After careful review of the entire record herein, this court finds that petitioner has not satisfied the first requirement for issuance of a certificate of appealability in this case. 7 Specifically, there is no showing that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether this action 8 is barred by the statute of limitations. 9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Petitioner's December 8, 2009 motion for a certificate of appealability is 10 11 denied; and 12 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send a copy of this order to the United 13 States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. January 6, 2010 DATED: 14 15 16 /s/ Frank C. Damrell, Jr. **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE** 17 /pras0980.coapro 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26