Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Eastern	District of California						
	Drake S. Jones v. County of Sacramento, et al.))) Case No.: 2:09)	9-CV-01025 [Da		orrissey,			
	BI	LL OF COSTS			haussee, er, Christopher	Conrad,		
Judgment h	aving been entered in the above entitled action	on 03/10/2011	against ar	nd Chris	s Mrozinski	_ •		
the Clerk is	requested to tax the following as costs:	·						
Fees of the	Clerk				§350.0	0		
Fees for ser	Fees for service of summons and subpoena							
Fees for pri	nted or electronically recorded transcripts neces	ssarily obtained for use in th	ie case ,		-19,238.1	4 10,6008		
Fees and dis	sbursements for printing				258.8	5		
Fees for wit	nesses (itemize on page two)	41			-1,500. 0	0 560,00		
	emplification and the costs of making copies of obtained for use in the case				1,608.7	0		
Docket fees	under 28 U.S.C. 1923	******************			MANAGEMENT AND			
Costs as sho	own on Mandate of Court of Appeals			•				
	ion of court-appointed experts				Doc	. 191 Att. 1		
	(please itemize)				sales de la Charles	"Matthe		
Cost ite	mizations and documentation attache	ed as Exhibits 1-6	TOT	TAL :	\$ -18,881.9			
SPECIAL N	OTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and do	ocumentation for requested o	costs in all cate	egories.	14,50	18,12		
		Declaration (h	,	_		
services for in the follow	eclare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing which fees have been charged were actually an awing manner: Electronic service FOther:		Copy of this b					
	Name of Attorney: Stewart Katz, SBN	<u>I</u> 127425		Α.	A 40-100-000000000			
For:	Drake S. Jones, PI Name of Claiming Po		1 Parameter Annual Constant	Date:	03/23/2011			
	T	axation of Costs			,			
Costs are ta	xed in the amount of	DE	2	and incl	luded in the judgmen	.t.		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. 1821 for statutory fees)											
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE		ATTENDANCE		SUBSISTENCE		EAGE	Total Cost Each Witness				
		Total Days Cost		Tota) Days Cost		Total Cost					
See Attachment 1 for Itemization		1,570.00				20.00	\$1,590.00				
						:	\$0.00				
	adl age	-7	54		***** 1	-F	\$0.00				
							\$0.00				
			7.4.	le sibr	\$150-		\$0.00				
							\$0.00				
					TOTAL		\$1,590.00				

NOTICE

Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 day's notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

RULE 6

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service.

When a party may or must act within a specified time after service and service is made under Rule5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

RULE 58(c)

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.