

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 IRWIN DOUGLAS,

No. CIV 09-1038 FCD EFB PS

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 SACRAMENTO COUNTY, et al.,

ORDER

14 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

15 This action is before the undersigned pursuant to Eastern District of California Local  
16 Rule 302(c)(21). *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On April 12, 2010, plaintiff filed a “declaration  
17 and motion to throw out and disregard defendants’ supplemental disclosure of evidence.” Dckt.  
18 No. 35. Because the evidence plaintiff challenges is not being considered by the court at this  
19 time, plaintiff’s motion is premature and is therefore denied without prejudice. If the evidence at  
20 issue is offered by defendants in support of a motion for summary judgment or is listed as  
21 evidence to be offered at trial, plaintiff may raise his objections to the evidence at the appropriate  
22 time.

23 DATED: May 20, 2010.

24   
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
26