(PC) Harris v	ris v. Orrick II	
1	1	
1		
2		
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	0 CHARLES HARRIS,	
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-10	52 FCD DAD P
12	vs.	
13	SERGEANT ORRICK,	
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>	
15		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On November 5, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Defendant	
22	Orrick has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule	
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the	
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and	
26	by proper analysis.	

Doc. 19

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed November 5, 2009 are adopted in

2. Defendant's August 17, 2009 motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 12) is denied.

DATED: January 7, 2010.

full;

FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE