| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 10 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | WILLIE HOLLOWAY, |
| 12 | Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-1088 MCE KJM P |
| 13 | VS. |
| 14 | D.K. SISTO, |
| 15 | Respondents. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 16 | / |
| 17 | Petitioner is a state prison inmate proceeding pro se with a petition for a writ of |
| 18 | habeas corpus challenging a denial of parole. On August 20, 2009, an inmate purporting to act |
| 19 | on petitioner's behalf filed a motion to dismiss, claiming that petitioner had been paroled. |
| 20 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, within twenty days of the date of this order, |
| 21 | petitioner inform the court if he desires to continue with this action. Failure to respond will |
| 22 | result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed. |
| 23 | DATED: September 30, 2009. |
| 24 | HE MACIETRATE HIDGE |
| 25 | 2: holl1088.osc |
| 26 | • |

(HC) Holloway v. Sisto

Doc. 9