1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	AMILCAR GUEVARA,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-1132 FCD KJN P
12	VS.
13	A. RALLS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On August 18, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate
17	judge's order filed August 11, 2010, granting defendants' motion for extension of time. Pursuant
18	to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous
19	or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the
20	magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
21	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of
22	the magistrate judge filed August 11, 2010, is affirmed. (Dkt. Nos. 81, 82.)
23	DATED: August 26, 2010.
24	And C mm
25	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	Destad