

1 JOHN B. SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 96742)
jbs@severson.com
2 REGINA J. McCLENDON (State Bar No. 184669)
rjm@severson.com
3 KALAMA M. LUI-KWAN (State Bar No. 242121)
kml@severson.com
4 SEVERSON & WERSON
A Professional Corporation
5 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
6 Telephone: (415) 398-3344
Facsimile: (415) 956-0439

7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 LITTON LOAN SERVICING LP and
U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,
9 AS TRUSTEE FOR THE C-BASS
MORTGAGE LOAN ASSET-BACKED
10 CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-CB8

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14

15 CURT GUMBS and KHEA GUMBS,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 vs.

18 LITTON LOAN SERVICING, ARGENT
MORTGAGE COMPANY, LLC, U.S. BANK
19 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR
THE C-BASS MORTGAGE LOAN ASSET-
20 BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-CB8,
QUALITY LOAN SERVICE CORP., AMERICAN
21 DISCOUNT MORTGAGE INC., TOM ZUMMO,
LETRIFFA CRAWLEY, and DOES 1 to 20,
22 inclusive,

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 2:09-CV-01159-GEB-GGH

**STIPULATION AND
ORDER CONTINUING THE PARTIES'
PARTICIPATION IN THE VOLUNTARY
DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM**

1 **STIPULATION**

2 WHEREAS, on June 8, 2009, the Court entered a stipulated order (“Order”) instructing plaintiffs
3 Curt and Khea Gumbs (“Plaintiffs”) to file an amended complaint (“FAC”) no later than June 24, 2009;

4 WHEREAS, the Order instructed defendants Litton Loan Servicing LP, U.S. Bank, National
5 Association, as Trustee for the C-Bass Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2006-CB8, and
6 Argent Mortgage Co., LLC (collectively, “Defendants”) to file an answer or otherwise respond to the FAC
7 no later than July 15, 2009;

8 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed their FAC on June 24, 2009 and Defendants filed motions to dismiss
9 on July 15, 2009;

10 WHEREAS, Defendants’ motions to dismiss are currently set for hearing before this Court on
11 August 31, 2009;

12 WHEREAS, on July 16, 2009, the Court referenced this action to the Voluntary Dispute Resolution
13 Program (“VDRP”);

14 WHEREAS, the parties have agreed upon a VDRP neutral;

15 WHEREAS, the parties believe that the Court’s ruling on the pending motions to dismiss may
16 narrow the issues (if any) that the parties will have to address during their participation in the VDRP; and

17 WHEREAS, although the parties have agreed upon a VDRP neutral, they believe that continuing
18 their participation in the VDRP until after the Court rules on the sufficiency of the pleadings would serve
19 the interests of judicial efficiency and conservation of judicial and party resources;

20 NOW THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND REQUESTED by the parties hereto,
21 through their respective counsel, that:

22 1. The parties need not participate in the VDRP until after the Court rules on the pending
23 motions to dismiss the FAC;

24 2. In the event the pending motions to dismiss are granted with leave to amend, the parties need
25 not participate in the VDRP until after the Court rules on any motions to dismiss further amended
26 complaints; and

