1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	
10	JABIR SADIQ,
11 12	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1171 LKK EFB P
12	vs. LT. ROBERTS, et al.,
13	Defendants. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	
15	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> and without counsel in a civil rights
10	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff's amended complaint was filed on March 3, 2010,
18	screened by the court on July 2, 2010, and forwarded to the U.S. Marshal for service on
19	defendants on August 5, 2010.
20	On October 27, 2010, process was returned unexecuted because defendant Roberts could
21	not be located. On November 2, 2010, the court directed plaintiff to provide new instructions for
22	service of process upon defendant Roberts and warned plaintiff that Federal Rule of Civil
23	Procedure 4(m) requires that an action be dismissed as to a defendant not served within 120 days
24	after filing the complaint, unless good cause exists. The court further warned plaintiff that
25	failure to provide new instructions for service of process upon defendant Roberts or to show
26	good cause for such failure would result in a recommendation that Roberts be dismissed. See

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (service of process must be effected within 120 days of the filing of the
complaint unless plaintiff demonstrates good cause).

The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not provided new instructions for service of process upon defendant Roberts or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that defendant Roberts be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v*. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: January 20, 2011.

Biema

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE