1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	NICHOLAS DAVID ANDREAS,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1207 FCD GGH P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.
19	On November 13, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections
21	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. On December 3,
22	2009, plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
24	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
26	by proper analysis.
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 13, 2009, are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Plaintiff's motion for emergency injunction (Docket No. 25) is denied.
5	DATED: December 14, 2009.
6 7	Mars C Mmm
8	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2