

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NICOLAS DAVID ANDREAS,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-1207 FCD GGH P

vs.

MATTHEW CATE, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action. No defendants have yet appeared.

On March 12, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion for sanctions. Plaintiff alleges that defense counsel and prison officials conspired to send him a letter through the prison’s regular mail system rather than processing it through the legal mail system. This letter, a copy of which is attached to plaintiff’s motion, informs plaintiff that the Attorney General’s Office will represent defendants. Plaintiff claims that by sending him the letter through the prison’s regular mail, defense counsel and prison officials were attempting to inform prison officials that plaintiff had filed a lawsuit. Plaintiff alleges that he may now be retaliated against for pursuing this action.

Plaintiff offers no facts to support his claim that defense counsel was involved in the decision to treat the letter as regular rather than legal mail. Plaintiff’s unsupported

