

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-1234 GEB DAD

12 v.

13 LAURI LAWTON-GREITZER, D.C.,

14 Defendant.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Plaintiff has filed a complaint for judgment against the defendant on defaulted  
17 student loans. The proceeding has been referred to the undersigned in accordance with Local  
18 Rule 72-302(c)(16). Before the court is plaintiff's motion for entry of judgment (Doc. No. 8)  
19 filed on July 7, 2009.

20 Plaintiff's motion appears to have been brought pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
21 55(b)(2)<sup>1</sup> but has not been noticed for hearing. Local Rule 78-230(b). Counsel for plaintiff will  
22 be directed to notice the motion for hearing before the undersigned on a regularly scheduled law

23 /////

24 /////

25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 <sup>1</sup> Motions for entry of default judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2) are also referred to  
the magistrate judge. Local Rule 72-302(c)(19).

1 and motion calendar in keeping with the above cited rule and to serve the filed notice of motion  
2 on defendant.<sup>2</sup>

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: September 4, 2009.

5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 DALE A. DROZD  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 DAD:kw  
9 ddad1\orders.civil\lawtongreitzer.ord.mdj

---

24 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff has already served its motion, supporting declaration and proposed judgment  
25 on plaintiff. However, the court would request that counsel for plaintiff be prepared to address  
26 at the hearing, or prior thereto by filing a supplemental memorandum served on defendant, the  
damages sought by plaintiff in a sum certain as well as the computation by which that sum was  
arrived at.