

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEANNA SYKES, ANDREW  
WITHAM, ADAM RICHARDS,  
SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION,  
INC., and THE CALGUNS  
FOUNDATION, INC.,

No. 2:09-cv-01235-MCE-KJM

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER**

JOHN MCGINNESS, COUNTY OF  
SACRAMENTO, ED PRIETO, and  
COUNTY OF YOLO,

Defendants.

----oo0oo----

The Court is in receipt of the request by Defendants County of Sacramento and John McGinness for an additional order pertaining to the stay on adjudication of any summary judgment motion, as previously imposed pursuant to the Court's Order of September 1, 2009. Defendants point out that linking the stay to the Ninth Circuit's pending en banc decision in Nordyke v. King, 563 F.3d 439 (9th Cir. 2009) is no longer appropriate since Nordyke, in turn, has been stayed awaiting the Supreme Court's decision in McDonald v. City of Chicago, 130 S.Ct. 48 (2009).

1 Defendants also ask that the stay on refiling a motion for  
2 summary judgment after a decision on McDonald has been rendered  
3 remain in effect for a period of sixty (60) additional days.  
4 According to Defendants, that additional stay is appropriate so  
5 that the Plaintiffs in this matter can be deposed in accordance  
6 with the substantive and legal guidelines to be established by  
7 the Supreme Court. Defendants maintain that deposing the  
8 Plaintiffs prior to a decision being rendered may be both  
9 premature and potentially futile.

10 Although Plaintiffs have opposed Defendants' request in this  
11 regard, the Court believes that refraining from the subject  
12 discovery at this time is proper since the McDonald decision may  
13 narrow and/or eliminate the issues which will need to be  
14 addressed in Plaintiffs' depositions. Consequently, Defendants'  
15 Request (Docket No. 38) is GRANTED. The stay on filing any  
16 Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication in this matter will  
17 remain in effect for a period of sixty (60) days following the  
18 Supreme Court's issuance of a decision on McDonald v. City of  
19 Chicago, supra, absent specific leave from this Court to the  
20 contrary.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: April 13, 2010

23  
24   
25 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28