1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 No. 2:09-cv-1300-CMK-P WILLIAM THOMAS COATS, 10 Plaintiff, 11 ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT vs. **CONFERENCE** 12 MICHAEL FOX, 13 Defendant. 14 On October 31, 2014, an order was issued directing each party to submit a status report 15 (Doc. 76). The order also noted that this case may be appropriate for referral to mediation. All 16 parties submitted statements indicating they would be willing to participate in a settlement 17 18 conference and a settlement conference was scheduled for February 20, 2015 (Doc. 71). Thereafter, plaintiff obtained counsel who filed a motion to reschedule the settlement conference 19 (Doc. 77). The motion was granted and the case was referred back to the ADR Director to reset 20 21 the settlement conference (Doc. 81). The settlement conference will be reset for May 5, 2015 at 22 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 24 before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney. 23 24 A separate writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will issue concurrently with this order. 25 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 26 1. A settlement conference is set for May 5, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 24 before 27 28 1

- 2. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle.¹
- 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages.
 The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 4. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements using the following email address: ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov. If a party desires to share additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e). Statements are due at least 7 days prior to the Settlement Conference. Upon submission of confidential settlement statements, each party shall file on the docket a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement" (See

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the

authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement

conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051,

1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the

mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u>, 871 F.2d 648,

653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the

settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pittman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part</u>, <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The

purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to

settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full

authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1	Local Rule 270(d)).
2	
3	Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the court nor served on
4	any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with
5	the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.
6	
7	The confidential settlement statement shall be no longer than five pages in length,
8	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:
9	
10	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
11	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon
12	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of
13	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in
14	dispute.
15	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.
16	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and
17	trial.
18	e. The relief sought.
19	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a
20	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
21	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement
22	conference.
23	
24	Dated: February 27, 2015
25	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	