

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIKHAEL VLASOV,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-09-1322 JAM DAD P

vs.

D. URIBE, JR.,

Respondent.

ORDER

_____ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On December 21, 2009, petitioner was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

Since petitioner may be entitled to relief if the claimed violation of constitutional rights is proved, respondent will be directed to file a response to petitioner’s habeas petition.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Respondent is directed to file a response to petitioner’s habeas petition within sixty days from the date of this order. See Rule 4, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. An answer shall be accompanied by all transcripts and other documents relevant to the issues presented in the petition. See Rule 5, Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases;

////

1 2. If the response to the habeas petition is an answer, petitioner's reply, if any,
2 shall be filed and served within thirty days after service of the answer;

3 3. If the response to the habeas petition is a motion, petitioner's opposition or
4 statement of non-opposition to the motion shall be filed and served within thirty days after
5 service of the motion, and respondent's reply, if any, shall be filed and served within fourteen days
6 thereafter; and

7 4. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this order, a copy of the petition
8 for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. No. 1), and the court's form
9 regarding consent or request for reassignment on Michael Patrick Farrell, Senior Assistant
10 Attorney General.

11 DATED: March 1, 2010.

12
13 
14 _____
15 DALE A. DROZD
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

14 DAD:4
15 via1322.100