1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MAURICE POWELL,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:09-cv-1330-GEB-JFM (HC)
12	VS.
13	STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, et al.,
14	Respondent. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
15	/
16	By order filed July 10, 2009, petitioner's application was dismissed and thirty
17	days' leave to file an amended application was granted. The thirty day period has now expired,
18	and petitioner has not filed an amended application or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
20	without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
21	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
22	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty
23	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written
24	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
25	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
26	/////
	1

Τ

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: September 1, 2009.
4	
5	TENTER CLATER AND CLEEP LEE
6	UNFTED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JJDGE
7	12
8	powe1330.ftahab
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2