

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 KENNETH A. SMITH,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-1343 EFB P

12 vs.

13 ASSISTANCE DIRECTOR
14 SACRAMENTO, et al.,

15 Defendants.

ORDER

16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel and *in forma pauperis* in an action
17 brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302
18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent.
19 See 28 U.S.C. § 636; see also E.D. Cal. Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).

20 On January 28, 2010, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. The
21 dismissal order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff 60 days to file an amended
22 complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended
23 complaint may result in this action being dismissed.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 The 60-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or
2 otherwise responded to the court's order.

3 Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4 Dated: April 20, 2010.

5 
6 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26