| (PC) Brook v | v. Singh et al | | |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | RONALD BROOK, | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | No. CIV S-09-1364 GEB CKD P | |
| 12 | VS. | | |
| 13 | V. SINGH, et al., | | |
| 14 | Defendants. | <u>ORDER</u> | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action | | |
| 17 | seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate | | |
| 18 | Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. | | |
| 19 | On January 27, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations | | |
| 20 | herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any | | |
| 21 | objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither | | |
| 22 | party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. | | |
| 23 | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be | | |
| 24 | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY | | |
| 25 | ORDERED that: | | |
| 26 | ///// | | |
| | | 1 | |

Doc. 76

| | 2 |
|---|---|
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed January 27, 2012, are adopted in full;
- 2. Defendants' April 11, 2011 motion for summary judgment (Dkt. No. 48) is

denied.

Dated: March 16, 2012

Jan

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge