1

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CRAIG HEALY, No. CIV S-09-1378-CMK
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14 15	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
16	/
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action for judicial
18	review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
19	Pursuant to the court's scheduling order, plaintiff was required to prosecute this action by either
20	seeking voluntary remand or filing a dispositive motion within 45 days from the date of service
21	of the administrative record by defendant. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply may result
22	in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and
23	orders. <u>See</u> Local Rule 110.
24	A review of the docket reflects that the answer and certified administrative record
25	were served on November 16, 2009. Plaintiff obtained two stipulations, which were approved by
26	the court, extending the time in which he was to file his dispositive motion up to an including

1

1 April 15, 2010. To date, plaintiff has not filed a dispositive motion.

1	
2	Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why
3	this action should not be dismissed for failure to file a dispositive motion. Plaintiff is again
4	warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the reasons
5	outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders. See id.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	
8	DATED: May 6, 2010
9	Lizig m. Kellison
10	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2