(PC) Smith v	v. CDC et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	KENNETH A. SMITH,
10	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1428 GGH P
11	vs.
12	CDC, et al.,
13	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14	/
15	On June 3, 2009, plaintiff filed his consent to the jurisdiction of the undersigned
16	(docket #4). By order filed August 20, 2009, the court granted plaintiff thirty days to file an
17	amended complaint. In the August 20th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in
18	his complaint. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended
19	complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	For the reasons given in the August 20, 2009, order, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED
21	that this action be dismissed. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	DATED: October 30, 2009
23	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
24	
25	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	GGH:035 smit1428.fta
	1

Doc. 10