1	
1 2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ASHMINDAR JEET SINGH,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-1453 GEB GGH P
12	VS.
13	MICHAEL MARTEL, Warden,
14	Respondent.
15	/
16	JASMEEL MANOJ KUMAR,
17	Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2455 JAM KJN P
18	VS.
19	JAMES YATES,
20	Respondent. <u>NON-RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
21	/
22	The court has received the Notice of Related Cases concerning the above-
23	captioned cases filed on April 27, 2010. See Local Rule 123, E.D. Cal. (1997). The court has
24	determined, however, that it is inappropriate to relate or reassign the cases, and therefore declines
25	////
26	/////

Dockets.Justia.com

1	to do so. Although a few of the many claims raised in each petition are very similar, one or two
2	even identical, the majority of issues raised in one petition bears no relationship with the grounds
3	raised in the other. This order is issued for informational purposes only, and shall have no effect
4	on the status of the cases.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED: May 10, 2010
7	/s/ Crosserry C. Hellows
8	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	GGH:009/kly
11	sing1453.nonrel
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

I