1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	TIMOTHY WATTS, No. CIV S-09-1515-KJM-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	R. RAMOS, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel
19	(Doc. 45). The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to
20	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. See Mallard v. United States
21	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may
22	request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). See Terrell v.
23	Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36
24	(9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not at this time find the required exceptional
25	circumstances.
26	///
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the
2	appointment of counsel (Doc. 45) is denied.
3	
4	DATED: December 16, 2011
5	Loig m. Kellison
6	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I