(HC) Acuna v. Hedgepeth		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	IN THE LINITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	GONZALO ACUNA,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	,	No. CIV S-09-1538 DAD P
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-09-1338 DAD P
12	VS.	ODDED AND
13	A. HEDGEPETH,	ORDER AND DECOMMENDATIONS
14	Respondent.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15		
16	By an order filed August 18, 2009, petitioner was ordered to file an in forma	
17	pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee, within thirty days, or his application would	
18	be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and petitioner has not responded to the	
19	court's order, has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing	
20	fee.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to	
22	randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action;	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
24	prejudice.	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District	
26	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty	

Doc. 7

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: September 30, 2009.

Dale A. Daged

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:Ig acun1538.fifp.fpf