1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	STEVEN LEWIS,
12	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1554 FCD KJM PS
13	VS.
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT., et al.,
15 16	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
17	By order filed September 25, 2009, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty
18	days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired,
19	and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.
20	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
21	prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
22	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
23	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
24	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
25	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
26	Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
2	DATED: December 17, 2009.
4	MAMARA /
5	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26	
	2