1			
2			
3 4			
4			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MARVIN GLENN HOLLIS		
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:09-cv-1627 JAM CKD P		
12	VS.		
13	A. GORBY, et al.,		
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>		
15	/		
16	On June 12, 2012, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate		
17	judge's June 6, 2012 order granting defendants \$2,058.19 in costs and fees associated with their		
18	bringing a motion to compel plaintiff to be deposed which was granted. Pursuant to E.D. Local		
19	Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to		
20	law." Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate		
21	judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.		
22	/////		
23	////		
24	/////		
25	/////		
26	/////		
	1		
	Desirete		

1		Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the	
2	magistrate judge filed June 6, 2012, is affirmed.		
3	DATED:	July 26, 2012	
4		/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
		2	